ORIGINAL PAPER

Synthesis and electrochemical characterization of LiFePO₄/C-polypyrrole composite prepared by a simple chemical vapor deposition method

Qiang Gong • Yu-Shi He • Yang Yang • Xiao-Zhen Liao • Zi-Feng Ma

Received: 14 June 2011 / Accepted: 13 August 2011 / Published online: 30 August 2011 © Springer-Verlag 2011

Abstract A LiFePO₄/C-polypyrrole (LiFePO₄/C-PPy) composite as a high-performance cathode material is successfully prepared through a simple chemical vapor deposition (CVD) method. According to the transmission electron microscope (TEM) analysis, the surface of the LiFePO₄/C is surrounded with PPy in the LiFePO₄/C-PPy composite. The as-prepared LiFePO₄/C-PPy material shows outstanding rate capability at 20°C and good cycle performance at 55°C in comparison with those of the bare LiFePO₄/C material against Li anode. After 700 cycles, the discharge capacity of LiFePO4/C-PPy could still remain 110 mA hg^{-1} with the retention of 82% at 5 C rate at 55°C. This could be ascribed to the fact that PPy coating on LiFePO₄/C could significantly improve the ionic conductivity of the LiFePO₄/C-PPy composite and could greatly reduce the electrode resistance. Furthermore, the PPy coating on LiFePO₄/C could effectively decrease the dissolution of Fe in the LiPF₆ electrolyte and subsequently suppress the reduction of Fe ions on anode.

Keywords LiFePO₄ \cdot Polypyrrole \cdot Chemical vapor deposition \cdot Cathode \cdot Lithium batteries

Q. Gong · Y.-S. He · Y. Yang · X.-Z. Liao · Z.-F. Ma (⊠) Department of Chemical Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China e-mail: zfma@sjtu.edu.cn

Y. Yang

ARC Centre of Excellence for Electromaterials Science, Intelligent Polymer Research Institute, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW 2519, Australia

Introduction

As a rare metal-free material, LiFePO₄ has been considered a promising cathode material for the next generation of rechargeable lithium ion batteries due to its reasonable theoretical capacity (170 mA hg⁻¹), low cost, and high safety, and because it is environmentally benign [1]. However, the main problem of LiFePO₄ is its poor lithium-ion diffusion rate and low electronic conductivity. Tremendous efforts have been made over the past few years to overcome these drawbacks, such as carbon coating [2–4], metal doping [5, 6] and particle size nanonization [7, 8]. Nevertheless, the cycling performance of LiFePO₄ at elevated temperatures and its high rate performance are still unable to satisfy the requirements for practical application in electric vehicles.

In recent years, conducting polymers, such as PPy, polyaniline (PANI), and poly (3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) (PEDOT), have been attracting much attention as additives or coating materials for lithium ion batteries. Investigations on V₂O₅/PPy [9], LiMn₂O₄/PPy [10], LiFePO₄/PEDOT [11], LiFePO₄/PANI [12], and LiFePO₄/PPy [12-19] have been reported. Pasquier et al. [10] have coated LiMn₂O₄ particles with PPy to improve the cyclability of LiMn₂O₄ cathode at elevated temperatures by suppressing the dissolution of Mn in the LiPF₆ electrolyte. Goodenough et al. [12-15] first presented a concept of substituting the inactive C and PTFE binder of the LiFePO₄ cathode with a conducting polymer like PPy or PANI. The synthesized LiFePO₄/C-PPy and LiFePO₄/C-PANI cathodes exhibited excellent rate capability. But they did not report the electrochemical performance of these materials at high temperatures.

Vapor phase polymerization (VPP) was first described by Mohammadi et al. [20] as a chemical vapor deposition (CVD) process using FeCl₃ as oxidants for polymerization of polypyrrole films. Then the use of Fe(III) tosylate as oxidant has been reported as an excellent route to form both smooth and highly conducting films [21]. When electrode materials are coated by PPy in this vapor phase process, the pyrrole gas could penetrate and coat in the inner pore area of the powder. This would reduce the activity of cathode/ anode surface and further decrease undesirable reactions with the electrolyte, while the lithium intercalation would not be inhibited. This strategy to synthesize the PPy films grown on the surface of LiFePO₄ with CVD procedure would be helpful for the further development of electrode material for lithium ion batteries.

In this report, the effect of PPy coating on the rate capability of LiFePO₄/C material is first investigated at low temperature (-20° C). We also demonstrate that the high-temperature cycle performance of the LiFePO₄/C material can be greatly enhanced with the coating of PPy by using the simple CVD method regardless of whether the counter electrode is metallic lithium or mesocarbon microbead (MCMB).

Experimental

LiFePO₄/C powder with carbon content of 2.4 wt.% was synthesized according to the method described by Wang et al. [22]. LiFePO₄/C-PPy composite was prepared via the CVD method using Fe(III) tosylate as oxidant: 5 g LiFePO₄/C powder was dispersed in 23.5 g ethanol solution containing 25 wt.% Fe(III) tosylate (Sigma-Aldrich). The solution was magnetically stirred for 15 min and heated into slurry at 60°C. Then the slurry was exposed to pyrrole monomer vapor directly over the liquid pyrrole monomer (98%, Sigma-Aldrich, distilled prior to usage) on a filter paper in a sealed container at 60°C for 1 h. The final LiFePO₄/C-PPy product was washed with ethanol, and dried at 60°C in a vacuum oven for 2 h.

The PPy content in the composite was analyzed by thermogravitric analysis (NETZSCH TG 209 F1) operated under flowing Ar. The temperature was scanned from 30°C to 800°C at a rate of 10°C min⁻¹ using an Al₂O₃ crucible. The particle images of the samples were observed using transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEM-2010/INCA OXFORD). The cross-section morphology of electrodes after cycling was observed using a scanning electron microscope (FESEM, SIRION 200, FEI) at an accelerating voltage of 5 kV. Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX; INCA, Oxford) was used to analyze the compositions of electrodes.

Sample electrodes were prepared by slurrying the sample powder with 10 wt.% poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF)

and 15 wt.% Super-P carbon black (Timcal) in N-methyl-2pyrrolidinone (NMP) solvent, and then casting the mixture onto an aluminum foil. After vacuum drying at 120°C for 8 h, the electrode disks were punched and weighed. The cathodes were incorporated into cells with a lithium foil counter electrode (so-called half-cell configuration), a Celgard©-2700 separator, a 1 M LiPF₆/ethylene carbonate (EC) + dimethyl carbonate (DMC) + diethyl carbonate (DEC) + ethylmethyl carbonate (EMC) (1:1:1:3, v/v) electrolyte for low temperature (-20°C) and a 1 M LiPF₆/ DMC + EC (1:1) electrolyte for room temperature (20° C) and high temperature (55°C) test. The active material loaded on the electrode disks was about 4.5 mg/cm². Galvanostatic charge-discharge cycling tests for the cells were performed within a range of 2.0–4.2 V using a battery test system (LAND CT2001A model, Wuhan Jinnuo Electronics Co., Ltd). Parallel tests were made for chargedischarge measurements. The specific capacities of the samples were calculated based on the mass of the composites. Furthermore, the negative electrode in the full-cell test was made of 94% MCMB graphite and 6% PVDF on Cu foil. And the charge/discharge tests of the entire cells were performed at 1 C (1 C=160 mA g^{-1}) rate between 2.5 and 3.8 V on the same battery test system at 55°C. Iron dissolution from the sample powders upon storage in the electrolyte was analyzed by an ICAP6300 inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES) (Thermal, USA). For iron dissolution test, 1 g sample powder was stored in a closed bottle containing 10 ml of electrolyte solution under Ar atmosphere. The bottle was sealed in an aluminum soft package to confirm the completely sealed condition during the long-term test. The solution was removed after 25 days storage at 55°C and was analyzed.

Electrochemical impedance measurements of the cells were carried out using a SI1260 Impedance/gain-phase analyzer in conjunction with the SI1287 electrochemical interface. The amplitude of the alternating current signal was 5 mV over the frequency range between 100 kHz and 1 mHz.

Results and discussion

Figure 1 shows the TEM images of LiFePO₄/C and LiFePO₄/C-PPy. The surface of LiFePO₄/C particles is very smooth in Fig. 1a. It can be seen in Fig. 1b that there is a large amount of PPy wrapping and connecting the LiFePO₄ particles. Moreover, the distinct PPy film could be observed on the surface of LiFePO₄ particles in Fig. 1c. Thus, this could ensure good electrical continuity between LiFePO₄ particles. The result obtained by TG analysis indicates that the amount of PPy in the LiFePO₄/C-PPy composite was about 11.1 wt.%.



Fig. 1 TEM images of a LiFePO₄/C and b, c LiFePO₄/C-PPy

The rate capabilities of the prepared LiFePO₄/C and LiFePO₄/C-PPy were evaluated and compared at 20°C and -20° C, respectively, as shown in Fig. 2. The cells were charged using the same current density as the following discharge test before each rate capability test. As shown in Fig. 2a, the as-prepared LiFePO₄/C–PPy composite shows



Fig. 2 Rate capabilities of the Li/LiFePO₄/C and Li/LiFePO₄/C-PPy cells at a 20°C and b -20° C

a superior rate capability over 2 C (320 mA g⁻¹) rate, and the overpotential at high discharge rates is significantly reduced in comparison with the pristine LiFePO₄/C at 20°C. The discharge capacity of LiFePO₄/C-PPy composite could still remain about 80 mA hg⁻¹ at 20 C rate. Research on the low temperature performance of the LiFePO₄/C material is still a challenging requirement. Figure 2b compares the rate capabilities of the LiFePO₄/C and LiFePO₄/C-PPy at -20°C. It can be seen that the LiFePO₄/C-PPy composite does not show an outstanding advantage over the LiFePO₄/C material, which could be primarily attributed to the poor electronic conductivity of PPy at low temperature. So PPy coating could not noticeably improve the rate capability of LiFePO₄/C material at low temperature.

As is well known, the poor high-temperature cycling performance of LiFePO₄/C is a major drawback at high rates. Therefore, the effect of PPy coating on the cycling stability of LiFePO₄/C material at 5 C charge-discharge rate at 55°C is shown in Fig. 3 against Li anode. As shown in Fig. 3a, the LiFePO₄/C-PPy cathode exhibited excellent high-temperature cycling stability with a first discharge capacity of 135 mA hg⁻¹. The steady discharge capacities could be still achieved at 110 mA hg⁻¹ after 700 cycles, and the capacity retention was about 82%. The initial discharge capacity of the bare LiFePO₄/C electrode is 149.7 mA hg^{-1} . However, the retention value of discharge capacity is only about 40% after 300 cycles. Figure 3b exhibits the discharge profiles of the prepared samples at different cycle numbers. It is clear that the LiFePO4/C-PPy cathode displays a relatively stable discharge voltage plateau between about 3.4 and 3.5 V vs. Li⁺/Li during 700 cycles. Nevertheless, the discharge plateau of pristine $LiFePO_4/C$ is only around 2.6 Vat first cycle and drops to around 2.0 Vat the 300th cycle.

AC electrochemical impedance spectra are taken to understand the effects of PPy coating on the high-rate cycling performance of LiFePO₄/C electrode at elevated temperature, the AC impedance spectra of Li|LiFePO₄/C-PPy and Li|LiFePO₄/C cells were tested after 50th and 200th



Fig. 3 Cycling performance (a) and discharge curves (b) of Li| LiFePO₄/C and Li|LiFePO₄/C-PPy cells at 5 C charge/discharge rate at 55° C

cycles. As shown in Fig. 4, the total interfacial resistance of the Li|LiFePO₄/C cell, reflected by the high frequency semicircle, was much larger than that of Li|LiFePO₄/C-PPy cell. Furthermore, the total interfacial resistance of the Li|LiFePO₄/C cell increased obviously after 200 cycles to three times of that after 50 cycles. In contrast, the total interfacial resistance of Li|LiFePO₄/C-PPy cell did not change much after 200 cycles. There must be significant changes on the interface of LiFePO₄/C electrode after long-term cycling.

Figure 5 compares the discharge capacity data of MCMB|LiFePO₄/C and MCMB|LiFePO₄/C-PPy cells in full-cell tests at 1 C charge/discharge rate at 55°C. The cycling performance of the MCMB|LiFePO₄/C-PPy cell is obviously improved in comparison with that of the MCMB| LiFePO₄/C cell, which is consistent with the result in half-cell test. The aforementioned results indicate that the PPy incorporation plays an important role in the remarkable improvement of the rate capability and cycling performance



Fig. 4 Impedance spectra for a Li|LiFePO₄/C cell and b Li|LiFePO₄/C-PPy cell

of LiFePO₄/C. It could be attributed to a synergistic effect occurred in LiFePO₄/C-PPy composite. Firstly, PPy in hybrid material could allow for effective electrolyte



Fig. 5 Cycling performance of MCMB|LiFePO₄/C and MCMB| LiFePO₄/C-PPy cells at 1 C charge/discharge rate at 55°C

penetration into the polymer mass and form a gel-like structure, which could improve the ionic conductivity of the LiFePO₄/C-PPy composite and greatly reduce the electrode resistance [13]. Secondly, in the LiFePO₄/C-PPy composite, the excellent flexibility of PPy polymer could make the electrode more insensitive to the mechanical stresses experienced during cycling at high temperature and high rates [12]. Furthermore, PPy could also contribute to the capacity of the LiFePO₄/C-PPy composite [19].

To further investigate the origin of the remarkable cyclability improvement of the MCMB|LiFePO₄/C-PPy cell, the cross-sectional SEM images and the corresponding EDX spectra of MCMB electrodes were measured after 200 cycles at 1 C charge/discharge rate at 55°C. To prepare samples for SEM analysis, the cycled coin cells were dissembled and the MCMB anodes were rinsed with

tetrahydrofuran (THF) and dried in the glove box. EDX was carried out along the cross-section at two different locations for each sample. The results are shown in Fig. 6. Figure 6b shows that, for the MCMB electrode of the MCMB|LiFePO₄/C cell, the Fe signal could be clearly detected throughout the cross-section of the electrode. It is also shown that the dissolved Fe²⁺ was reduced at the surface of the MCMB electrode. However, for the MCMB electrode of the MCMB|LiFePO4/C-PPy cell, the EDX spectrum (Fig. 6a) indicates that no trace of Fe metal could be detected at the carbon surface. In order to confirm the effects of the PPy coating on iron dissolution, both LiFePO₄/C-PPy and LiFePO₄/C samples were stored in LiPF₆ solution at 55°C for 25 days. The iron content in the solution after 25 days of storage was 10±2 ppm for LiFePO₄/C-PPy and 653 ± 30 ppm for LiFePO₄/C sample, respectively.



Fig. 6 Cross-sectional SEM images and EDX spectra of MCMB electrodes after 200 cycles at 1 C charge/discharge rate at 55°C: a MCMB | LiFePO₄/C-PPy, b MCMB |LiFePO₄/C. The regions in each figure index the locations where the spectra are acquired

The obtained results (as discussed above) could be attributed to the fact that PPy coating could effectively protect the LiFePO₄/C matrix from the corrosive solution, greatly decrease the dissolution of Fe in the electrolyte at elevated temperature and further suppress the reduction of Fe ions at the carbon electrode at elevated temperature, which is the main reason for the excellent cyclability of LiFePO₄/C-PPy against carbon electrode at elevated temperature [23–25].

Conclusions

The surface of LiFePO₄/C material is modified with PPy by a simple CVD method. The test results demonstrate that PPy coating could not obviously improve the rate capability of LiFePO₄/C material at low temperature. However, the obtained LiFePO₄/C-PPy composite exhibits excellent rate capability at 20°C and cycle performance at 55°C in comparison with those of the bare LiFePO₄/C material against Li anode. The discharge capacity of LiFePO₄/C-PPy composite could still remain about 80 mA hg^{-1} at 20 C rate at 20°C, and the capacity retention of the LiFePO₄/C-PPy composite could still retain 82% at the 700th cycle at 5 C charge-discharge rate at 55°C. The particular structure of LiFePO₄/C particles surrounded by the PPy could improve the ionic conductivity of the LiFePO4/C-PPy composite and greatly reduce the electrode resistance, which leads to a superior electrochemical performance at room temperature. Moreover, the PPy coating on LiFePO₄/C could effectively decrease the dissolution of Fe in the LiPF₆ electrolyte and consequently suppress the reduction of Fe ions at the carbon electrode at elevated temperature. Therefore, the surface modification with PPy coating is a promising approach to improve the cycle performance of LiFePO₄/C at high rates and high temperature.

Acknowledgements The authors are grateful for the financial support of this work by the National Basic Research Program of China (2007CB209705), the Natural Science Foundation of China (20773087, 21006063, 21073120), the Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (10DZ1202702, 09DZ1203603 and 09XD1402400).

References

- Padhi AK, Nanjundaswamy KS, Goodenough JB (1997) J Electrochem Soc 144:1188–1194
- Chen SY, Gao B, Su LH, Mi CH, Zhang XG (2009) J Solid State Electrochem 13:1361–1366
- Liao XZ, Ma ZF, He YS, Zhang XM, Wang L, Jiang Y (2005) J Electrochem Soc 152:A1969–A1973
- Kim JK, Cheruvally G, Ahn JH (2008) J Solid State Electrochem 12:799–805
- 5. Chung SY, Bloking JT, Chiang YM (2002) Nat Mater 1:123-128
- Lu Z, Cheng H, Lo M, Chung CY (2007) Adv Funct Mater 17:3885–3895
- 7. Lim S, Yoon CS, Cho J (2008) Chem Mater 20:4560-4564
- Muraliganth T, Murugan AV, Manthiram A (2008) J Mater Chem 18:5661–5668
- 9. Wang HB, Zeng YQ, Huang KL, Liu SQ, Chen LQ (2007) Electrochim Acta 52:5102–5107
- Pasquier AD, Orsini F, Gozdz AS, Tarascon JM (1999) J Power Sources 81:607–611
- Murugan AV, Muraliganth T, Manthiram A (2008) Electrochem Commun 10:903–906
- 12. Huang YH, Goodenough JB (2008) Chem Mater 20:7237-7241
- 13. Park KS, Schougaard SB, Goodenough JB (2007) Adv Mater 19:848–851
- Huang YH, Park KS, Goodenough JB (2006) J Electrochem Soc 153:A2282–A2286
- Boyano I, Blazquez JA, Meatza I, Bengoechea M, Miguel O, Grande H, Huang Y, Goodenough JB (2010) J Power Sources 195:5351–5359
- Wang GX, Yang L, Chen Y, Wang JZ, Bewlay S, Liu HK (2005) Electrochim Acta 50:4649–4654
- Fedorková A, Orináková R, Orinákb A, Taliana I, Heile A, Wiemhoerd HD, Kaniansky D, Arlinghaus HF (2010) J Power Sources 195:3907–3912
- Yang Y, Liao XZ, Ma ZF, Wang BF, He L, He YS (2009) Electrochem Commun 11:1277–1280
- Wang JZ, Chou SL, Chen J, Chew SY, Wang GX, Konstantinov K, Wu J, Dou SX, Liu HK (2008) Electrochem Commun 10:1781– 1784
- Mohammadi A, Hasan MA, Liedberg B, Lundstrom I, Salaneck WR (1986) Synth Met 14:189–197
- Bjorn WJ, Chen J, West K, Wallace G (2004) Macromolecules 37:5930–5935
- 22. Wang BF, Qiu YL, Ni SY (2007) Solid State Ionics 178:843-847
- 23. Chang HH, Wu HC, Wu NL (2008) Electrochem Commun 10:1823-1826
- 24. Amine K, Liu J, Belharouak I (2005) Electrochem Commun 7:699–673
- 25. Song GM, Wu Y, Liu G, Xu Q (2009) J Alloys Compd 487:214-217